## How GNSS and Beacon receivers can be used to monitor auroral ionosphere and space weather?

Kirsti Kauristie, Finnish Meteorological Institute

Special Thanks: J. Norberg (FMI), A. Aikio and T. Nygren (University of Oulu)





- Space weather: What and why?
- Some ionospheric physics
- Probing ionosphere with Global Naviation Satellite System
- Why GNSS is not enough at high latitudes?
- Future prospects



#### The impact of Solar eruption in the near-Earth space



Animation: NASA



#### Space weather has two faces

- Solar eruptions cause rapid variations in the magnetospheric and ionospheric conditions
- Geomagnetic field guides processes particularly to the vicinity of magnetic poles
- Manifestations:
  - Beautiful auroras
  - Potential problems in technology on ground and in space





Photo: Jouni Jussila

## **Space weather: Societal impact**





•Plot: https://fi.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aalto

•Animation:

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:AC\_wave\_Positive\_direction.gif#/media/File:AC\_wave\_Positive\_direction.gif

## **Electromagnetic waves**



•Plane wave, linear polarization

- •Homogeneous medium
- •E= Electric field, H= magnetic field, v=propagation direction
- Behaves according to the Maxwell equations
- Figure: Wikipedia "Electromagnetic radiation"

26.5.2016



## **The Maxwell equations**

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon} 
 \cdot \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0 
 \cdot \nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} 
 \cdot \nabla \times \boldsymbol{B} = \mu \boldsymbol{j} + \frac{1}{\mu \varepsilon} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}$$

*ρ*= charge density
J= current density
B=Magnetic field
E=Electrid field
μ=permeability
ε=permittivity *∇*· = divergence *∇*×= curl





James Clerk Maxwell Scottish mathematician 1831-1879

# Plasma and its waves

•Maxwell: Electric and magnetic fields, charges and currents are coupled with each other

•**Plasma:** dilute gas with charged particles where the above described coupling is exceptionally pronounced.

• Disturbances in plasma can grow rapidly and they often appear as waves.

•Plasma is the dominant state of matter in the space.

• In the ionosphere plasma is mixed with the neutral atmosphere which makes its modelling challenging





**Fig. 4.1.** Range–time–intensity map displaying the backscatter power at 3-m wavelengths measured at Jicamarca, Peru. The gray scale is decibels above the thermal noise level. [After Kelley *et al.* (1981). Reproduced with permission of the American Geophysical Union.]

## The structure of ionosphere

# Three layers: F, E, D Variations in the electron density

Day-night variations: 100x
Variations according to the solar cycle: 10x in upper parts of F-layer
Auroras: 100x variability in E-layer







## **Factors controlling ionospheric electron density**



#### **Dense GNSS receiver networks are widely used in ionospheric research**

 $\,$  From the combination of L1 and L2 signals integrated electron density (N\_e) along the signal path can be deduced

Near-Real-time data available from several networks
 → Suitable approach also for operational services

 Works well particularly at low and middle latitudes and in global scales







FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

## **Challenges in the Arctic ionosphere**

at

-Auroral activity causes dynamic small scale structures in the ionosphere  $\rightarrow$  high space and time resolution needed

 GNSS signals available only at low elevation angles → less information from the regions where the disturbances are strongest







## **TomoScand – IONOSPHERIC TOMOGRAPHY**

**3D** reconstruction for ionospheric electron density (Ne) over Fennoscandia Spatial resolution 5-20 km (typically ~100 km in global inversions)



only ~4 times per day

UNIVERSIT



### **TomoScand approach – pros and cons**

#### Challenges

•Signal paths do not cover all directions  $\rightarrow$  support from other instrumentation needed

 Availability of Beacon transmissions in the future?

#### Advantages

High space resolution

 Understandable regularization of the ill-posed problem

•Systematic error estimates

Figures: J. Norberg; Syntech Microwave; IBIMAGEM

 Cheap technology, tested already in CubeSats









## Support to TomoScand by ionosondes

•HF radio waves reflect from the ionosphere

Ionosfääric refractive index:

•n<sup>2</sup>=1- $\left(\frac{2\pi f_p}{2\pi f}\right)^2$ 

•N<sub>e</sub>=10<sup>10</sup>-10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>  $\rightarrow$  1-8 MHz

 Ionosonde provides altitude profile of eletron density up to the F-layer maximum.

$$f_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{e^2 n_e}{\epsilon_0 m_e}\right)^{1/2} = \left(80 \frac{n_e}{\mathrm{m}^{-3}}\right)^{1/2} \mathrm{Hz}$$



Figure: www-amateur-radio-wiki.net



INNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE

## Validation with high power radars



## Why is this important?

 Over the horizon communication with HF radio waves is used in arctic shipping and in aviation on polar routes

• HF reflection conditions depend critically on ionospheric electron density conditions

 $\bullet$  Global warming opens new routes for artic shipping  $\rightarrow$  significant reductions in time and costs







Figures: Wikipedia United Airlines The Arctic Institute



#### Thanks for your attention!